



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/241,335	02/01/1999	XINZHONG LEON XU	99-P-7449-US	8596
7590	0 12/17/2004		EXAM	INER
SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			ESCALANTE, OVIDIO	
186 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ISELIN, NJ 08	830		2645	

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/241,335	XU, XINZHONG LEON				
naviously naudin	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ovidio Escalante	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $4$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if						
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	·				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
<ul> <li>5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> <li>6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly</li> </ul>						
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not unected SOLELT	o issues which were newly				
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14-21 and 23-26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other: OVIDIO ESCALANTE PATENT EXAMINER		for for				
A		FAN TSANG				
Ovidio Escalante		ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Regarding claims 1 and 15, the newly added requirement that he block is configurable by at least two configurations raises new isses since this limitaiton was not recited in the previous claim.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 24, Applicant contends that Hammarström does not teach modifying a service independent building block or updating the configuration of a service independent building block at run time. The Examiner respectfully disagrees since Hammarström teaches that SIBs are used to process a call and when a customer wants additional service then an operator can modify the SIBs so that the customer requested service can be setup. In col. 3, lines 47-67, Hammarström teaches that, during a call (real-time) one or more SIBs are executed in response to an operator initiate command. Therefore, since an operator is able to modify a customer's service by using SIBs and since the network is able to initiate the service in real time then the Examiner believes that Hammarström teaches of modifying a configuration of a selected general-purpose block; and updating the configuration of the selected general-purpose block at run time.